

# LIE REPRESENTATIONS AND GROUPS OF PRIME POWER ORDER

R. M. BRYANT AND L. G. KOVÁCS

## 1. Automorphism Groups of $p$ -Groups

Let  $p$  be a prime,  $P$  a finite non-cyclic  $p$ -group and  $\Phi(P)$  the Frattini subgroup of  $P$ . Then every automorphism  $\theta: x \mapsto x\theta$  of  $P$  restricts to an automorphism  $\bar{\theta}: x\Phi(P) \mapsto (x\theta)\Phi(P)$  of the factor group  $P/\Phi(P)$ , and the map  $\theta \mapsto \bar{\theta}$  is a homomorphism from the automorphism group  $\text{Aut } P$  of  $P$  to the automorphism group of  $P/\Phi(P)$ . When  $P/\Phi(P)$  is regarded as a vector space over the field of order  $p$ , the restriction of  $\text{Aut } P$  to  $P/\Phi(P)$  is a group of linear transformations of this vector space. Our main result is to establish that every linear group arises in this way.

**THEOREM 1.** *For each linear group  $H$  of finite dimension  $d$ , with  $d \geq 2$ , over the field of order  $p$  there exists a finite  $p$ -group  $P$  such that the restriction of  $\text{Aut } P$  to  $P/\Phi(P)$  is isomorphic, as linear group, to  $H$ .*

The authors are indebted to Dr. John Cossey and Dr. Hans Lausch for provoking this work by the comment that such a result, in conjunction with a recent paper [8] of Laue, Lausch and Pain, yields that if  $p$  and  $q$  are distinct primes then there is an extension of a finite  $p$ -group by a finite  $q$ -group which does not lie in the smallest normal Fitting class of finite soluble groups: this refutes Conjecture 2 of Cossey's survey [3].

It should be acknowledged that an analogue of the above theorem, where the restriction of  $\text{Aut } P$  to the central factor group of  $P$  is prescribed as an abstract (rather than linear) group, was obtained by Heineken and Liebeck [6].

Theorem 1 will be derived in this section from two other results, Theorem 2 and Theorem 3. Theorem 2 will be proved in §2 and Theorem 3 in §3.

Let  $K$  be a field and let  $A$  be the free associative  $K$ -algebra (with unity) on  $d$  generators  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d$  ( $d \geq 2$ ). Then  $A$  is the direct sum

$$A = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i$$

where  $A_i$  is the homogeneous component of degree  $i$ . Now  $A$  carries the structure of a Lie algebra over  $K$  under the usual bracket multiplication:  $[u, v] = uv - vu$ . Let  $\Lambda$  be the Lie subalgebra generated by  $x_1, \dots, x_d$ . As is well-known,  $\Lambda$  is actually a free Lie algebra on  $x_1, \dots, x_d$ : see Theorem 5.9 of Magnus, Karrass and Solitar [10]. We have

$$\Lambda = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_i$$

where  $\Lambda_i = \Lambda \cap A_i$ . Note also that  $\Lambda_1 = A_1$ .

---

Received 12 September, 1977.

Let  $\Sigma$  be the general linear group of degree  $d$  over  $K$ . Thus  $\Sigma$  can be regarded as the group of  $K$ -automorphisms of  $A_1$ . Since  $A$  is freely generated by  $x_1, \dots, x_d$ , the action of each element of  $\Sigma$  can be extended uniquely to an algebra automorphism of  $A$ . Thus  $\Sigma$  may be regarded as a group of automorphisms of  $A$ . Clearly the  $A_i$  and the  $\Lambda_i$  admit the action of  $\Sigma$ , so may be regarded as  $K\Sigma$ -modules. In fact, as  $K\Sigma$ -module,  $A_i$  is isomorphic to the tensor product of  $i$  copies of  $A_1$ .

Let  $G$  be a finite subgroup of  $\Sigma$ , let  $M$  be the subgroup of  $G$  consisting of the elements of  $G$  with scalar action on  $A_1$ , and write  $m = |M|$ . Then, in the present notation, Theorem 2 of [1] states that for all sufficiently large  $i$  the  $KG$ -module  $A_i \oplus A_{i+1} \oplus \dots \oplus A_{i+m-1}$  contains a regular  $KG$ -module. The first result needed for Theorem 1 above is a refinement of this.

**THEOREM 2.** *For all sufficiently large  $i$  the  $KG$ -module  $\Lambda_i \oplus \Lambda_{i+1} \oplus \dots \oplus \Lambda_{i+m-1}$  contains a regular  $KG$ -module.*

We shall apply Theorem 2 in the case where  $K$  is the field of order  $p$  and  $G = \Sigma$ . Here it may be used in conjunction with the fact that the modules  $\Lambda_i$  occur as sections of certain  $p$ -groups. An application of this sort has already been useful elsewhere: see Harris [5]. The second result needed for Theorem 1 above allows us to exploit the full force of Theorem 2 by establishing that the modules  $\Lambda_i \oplus \dots \oplus \Lambda_{i+m-1}$  occur as sections of certain  $p$ -groups.

Let  $F$  be a free group on  $d$  generators  $y_1, \dots, y_d$ . Define  $F_1 = F$  and, for  $i \geq 1$ ,  $F_{i+1} = F_i^p[F_i, F]$ . Thus  $F_{i+1}$  is the smallest normal subgroup of  $F$  contained in  $F_i$  such that  $F_i/F_{i+1}$  has exponent  $p$  and is central in  $F/F_{i+1}$ . It is not difficult to verify that  $[F_i, F_j] \leq F_{i+j}$  for all  $i, j$ . Note also that  $F/F_{i+1}$  is a finite  $p$ -group with  $\Phi(F/F_{i+1}) = F_2/F_{i+1}$ . In the above notation, taking  $K$  to be the field of order  $p$ ,  $\Sigma$  may be regarded as the automorphism group of  $F/F_2$ . Of course, we choose the actions of  $\Sigma$  on  $A_1$  and  $F/F_2$  so that there is a  $K\Sigma$ -module isomorphism  $\phi: F/F_2 \rightarrow A_1$  with  $(y_j F_2)\phi = x_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq d$ ).

Suppose  $F_{i+1} \leq N \leq F_2$  where  $N$  is normal in  $F$ . Let  $\theta$  be an automorphism of  $F/N$ . Then  $\theta$  can be "lifted" to an automorphism  $\theta^*$  of  $F/F_{i+1}$  such that  $N/F_{i+1}$  admits  $\theta^*$  and  $\theta^*$  acts as  $\theta$  does on  $F/N$ . To prove this note that, since  $F$  is free, there is an endomorphism  $\theta'$  of  $F$  such that  $y_j \theta' \in (y_j N)\theta$  for all  $j$ . Hence  $f\theta' \in (fN)\theta$  for all  $f \in F$ . It follows that  $N$  admits  $\theta'$  and  $\theta'$  acts as  $\theta$  does on  $F/N$ . Now  $F_{i+1}$  is a fully-invariant subgroup of  $F$ . Hence  $\theta'$  yields an endomorphism  $\theta^*$  of  $F/F_{i+1}$ . Clearly  $N/F_{i+1}$  admits  $\theta^*$  and  $\theta^*$  acts as  $\theta$  does on  $F/N$ . Since  $N \leq F_2$ ,  $\theta^*$  acts as an automorphism on the Frattini factor group of  $F/F_{i+1}$ . Thus  $\theta^*$  is an automorphism.

Let  $\alpha \in \Sigma$ . Then  $\alpha$  may be lifted to an automorphism  $\alpha^*$  of  $F/F_{i+1}$ . It is straightforward to verify by induction on  $i$  that the action of  $\alpha^*$  on  $F_i/F_{i+1}$  depends only on  $\alpha$  and is independent of the choice involved in defining  $\alpha^*$ . Hence  $F_i/F_{i+1}$  may be regarded as a  $K\Sigma$ -module. The second result needed for Theorem 1 is the following.

**THEOREM 3.**  *$F_i/F_{i+1}$  has a submodule isomorphic to  $\Lambda_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \Lambda_i$ .*

We can now derive Theorem 1. By Theorems 2 and 3 we can choose  $i$  so that  $i \geq 2$  and  $F_i/F_{i+1}$  contains a regular  $K\Sigma$ -module. Write  $P^* = F/F_{i+1}$  and  $W = F_i/F_{i+1}$ . Thus  $W$  contains a regular  $K\Sigma$ -module. Let  $w$  be a generator for this regular  $K\Sigma$ -module.

Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $\Sigma$  and let  $W_H$  denote the  $KH$ -submodule of  $W$  generated by  $w$ . Then, for all  $\alpha \in \Sigma \setminus H$ ,  $W_H$  does not admit  $\alpha$ . Let  $P = P^*/W_H$ . We shall identify  $P^*/\Phi(P^*)$ ,  $P/\Phi(P)$  and  $F/F_2$  in the obvious way.

To show that  $P$  has the property described in Theorem 1, let  $\theta$  be any automorphism of  $P$ . Then  $\theta$  may be lifted to an automorphism  $\theta^*$  of  $P^*$  such that  $W_H$  admits  $\theta^*$  and  $\theta^*$  acts as  $\theta$  does on  $P$ . Let  $\alpha$  be the element of  $\Sigma$  obtained by restriction of  $\theta^*$  to  $P/\Phi(P)$ . Then  $W_H$  admits  $\alpha$ , since it admits  $\theta^*$ . Thus  $\alpha \in H$ .

Conversely, let  $\beta \in H$  and let  $\beta^*$  be an automorphism of  $P^*$  which acts on  $P^*/\Phi(P^*)$  as  $\beta$  does. Then  $\beta^*$  acts on  $W$  as  $\beta$  does. Thus  $W_H$  admits  $\beta^*$ . Thus  $\beta^*$  yields an automorphism of  $P$  whose restriction to  $P/\Phi(P)$  is equal to  $\beta$ .

Thus the restriction of  $\text{Aut } P$  to  $P/\Phi(P)$  is equal to  $H$ , as required.

## 2. Lie Representations

In this section we prove Theorem 2. But first we make some preliminary observations about field extensions. Let  $K$  be a field,  $L$  an extension field of  $K$ , and  $G$  a finite group. Let  $U$  and  $V$  be finite-dimensional  $KG$ -modules and let  $U^L$  and  $V^L$  be the  $LG$ -modules  $U \otimes_K L$  and  $V \otimes_K L$ , respectively.

LEMMA 1. *If  $U^L$  and  $V^L$  have a common non-zero direct summand then  $U$  and  $V$  have a common non-zero direct summand.*

This is result (2.18) of [2].

COROLLARY 1. *If  $U^L$  is a direct summand of  $V^L$  then  $U$  is a direct summand of  $V$ .*

*Proof.* We can write  $U = W \oplus U_1$  and  $V = W \oplus V_1$  where  $U_1$  and  $V_1$  have no common non-zero direct summand. If  $U^L$  is a direct summand of  $V^L$  it follows by the Krull-Schmidt Theorem that  $U_1^L$  is isomorphic to a direct summand of  $V_1^L$ . Hence  $U_1^L$  is zero, by Lemma 1. Hence  $U_1$  is zero and  $U$  is a direct summand of  $V$ .

COROLLARY 2. *If  $V^L$  contains a regular  $LG$ -module then  $V$  contains a regular  $KG$ -module.*

*Proof.* Since the regular  $LG$ -module is injective the hypothesis is equivalent to the regular  $LG$ -module being a direct summand of  $V^L$ . But the regular  $LG$ -module has the form  $U^L$  where  $U$  is a regular  $KG$ -module. Thus the result follows from Corollary 1.

We now prove Theorem 2 using the notation introduced in §1. In particular,  $K$  is a field and  $G$  is a finite group of  $K$ -automorphisms of  $A_1$ . To put this last statement another way,  $A_1$  is a  $KG$ -module on which  $G$  acts faithfully. The associative algebra  $A$  acquires a  $KG$ -module structure, as described in §1.  $M$  is the subgroup of  $G$  consisting of the elements with scalar action on  $A_1$ , and  $m = |M|$ .

Suppose  $L$  is an extension field of  $K$ . Then  $A \otimes_K L$  is the free associative  $L$ -algebra generated by  $x_1 \otimes 1, \dots, x_d \otimes 1$ . The homogeneous component of degree  $i$  is  $A_i \otimes L$  and the Lie subalgebra generated by  $x_1 \otimes 1, \dots, x_d \otimes 1$  is  $\Lambda \otimes L$ , with  $\Lambda_i \otimes L$  as homogeneous component of degree  $i$ . Also,  $A_1 \otimes L$  is an  $LG$ -module on which  $G$  acts faithfully, with  $M$  as the subgroup of  $G$  consisting of the elements with scalar action. The  $LG$ -module structure of  $A \otimes L$  defined via algebra automorphisms from

$A_1 \otimes L$  is identical with the  $LG$ -module structure of  $A \otimes L$  derived from the  $KG$ -module structure of  $A$ . If  $(\Lambda_i \otimes L) \oplus \dots \oplus (\Lambda_{i+m-1} \otimes L)$  contains a regular  $LG$ -module then Corollary 2 shows that  $\Lambda_i \oplus \dots \oplus \Lambda_{i+m-1}$  contains a regular  $KG$ -module. Thus it is enough to prove Theorem 2 for the field  $L$ . Consequently it is enough to prove Theorem 2 with the additional assumption that  $K$  is infinite. We make this assumption henceforth. It allows us to prove the following lemma.

**LEMMA 2.** *There is an element  $v$  of  $A_1$  such that  $v$  and  $v\alpha$  are linearly independent for all  $\alpha \in G \setminus M$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha \in G \setminus M$ . Then the eigenspaces of  $\alpha$  in  $A_1$ , of which there are at most  $d$ , are all proper subspaces of  $A_1$ . Since  $G$  is finite, the eigenspaces of elements of  $G \setminus M$  form a finite collection of proper subspaces of  $A_1$ . But, since  $K$  is infinite,  $A_1$  is not the set-theoretic union of any finite collection of proper subspaces: this is easily proved by induction on  $d$ . A non-zero element  $v$  of  $A_1$  which is not in any of the above eigenspaces has the required properties.

Now  $M$  is a cyclic central subgroup of  $G$ . Let  $\sigma$  be a generator of  $M$ . Then  $\sigma$  acts like a scalar  $\xi$  on  $A_1$ , where  $\xi$  is a primitive  $m$ th root of unity in  $K$ . Let  $T$  be a set of coset representatives for  $M$  in  $G$ , where  $1 \in T$ .

Let  $U$  be a regular  $KM$ -module. Then  $U = U_0 \oplus \dots \oplus U_{m-1}$  where  $U_i$  is a 1-dimensional  $KM$ -module on which  $\sigma$  acts as the scalar  $\xi^i$  ( $0 \leq i < m$ ). Let  $U^G$  denote the  $KG$ -module induced from  $U$ . Then  $U^G$  is a regular  $KG$ -module and we have  $U^G = U_0^G \oplus \dots \oplus U_{m-1}^G$ . Now  $\sigma$  acts as the scalar  $\xi^i$  on  $U_i^G$ . Also  $U_i^G$  contains an element  $u_i$  such that  $\{u_i \tau : \tau \in T\}$  is a basis for  $U_i^G$ . These two facts serve to characterise  $U_i^G$  as a  $KG$ -module.

If  $k \equiv i \pmod{m}$  where  $0 \leq i < m$  then  $\sigma$  acts like the scalar  $\xi^i$  on  $A_k$ . The proof will be completed by showing that, for large enough  $k$ , with  $k \equiv i \pmod{m}$ ,  $\Lambda_k$  contains a submodule isomorphic to  $U_i^G$ . This will be done by showing that  $\Lambda_k$  contains an element  $u$  such that  $\{u\tau : \tau \in T\}$  is linearly independent. It is enough to find an element  $u$  which does not belong to the subspace  $\langle u\tau : \tau \in T \setminus \{1\} \rangle$ , because this implies  $u\tau' \notin \langle u\tau : \tau \in T \setminus \{\tau'\} \rangle$  for all  $\tau' \in T$ .

The result is clear if  $|T| = 1$ , so we assume that  $|T| \geq 2$ .

Let  $v$  be chosen as in Lemma 2, and let  $w$  be any element of  $A_1$  such that  $v$  and  $w$  are linearly independent. Let  $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$  be the non-identity elements of  $T$ . Then for each  $j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n$ ) there is a vector space decomposition  $A_1 = X_j \oplus Y_j$  where  $v \in X_j$  and  $v\tau_j \in Y_j$ .

Let  $k \geq 3n$ . Then regarding  $A_k$  as the tensor product of  $k$  copies of  $A_1$  we can write  $A_k$  in the form

$$(X_1 \oplus Y_1) \otimes (X_1 \oplus Y_1) \otimes (X_1 \oplus Y_1) \otimes (X_2 \oplus Y_2) \otimes (X_2 \oplus Y_2) \otimes (X_2 \oplus Y_2) \otimes \dots \otimes (X_n \oplus Y_n) \otimes (X_n \oplus Y_n) \otimes (X_n \oplus Y_n) \otimes A_1 \otimes \dots \otimes A_1.$$

Thus  $A_k$  is the direct sum of a certain collection of subspaces of the form

$$Z_1 \otimes \dots \otimes Z_{3n} \otimes A_1 \otimes \dots \otimes A_1$$

where each factor  $Z_i$  is equal to some  $X_j$  or some  $Y_j$ . Let  $B$  be the sum of those subspaces in the collection with at most one factor  $Z_i$  belonging to  $\{Y_1, \dots, Y_n\}$  and let  $C$  be the sum of those subspaces with at least two factors  $Z_i$  belonging to  $\{Y_1, \dots, Y_n\}$ . Thus  $A_k = B \oplus C$ .

Consider the element  $u$  of  $A_k$  given by the left-normed product  $[w, v, v, \dots, v]$  with  $k - 1$  copies of  $v$ . It is easy to verify that

$$u = \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{s} (-1)^s v^s w v^{k-1-s}.$$

Since  $v$  and  $w$  are linearly independent they are part of a free generating set for  $A$ . Hence  $u$  is a non-zero element of  $\Lambda_k$ . Clearly  $u$  belongs to  $B$ . Thus  $u$  does not belong to  $C$ . But, for all  $j$ ,

$$u\tau_j = \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{s} (-1)^s (v\tau_j)^s (w\tau_j)(v\tau_j)^{k-1-s}.$$

In each summand at least two of the factors  $v\tau_j$  occur in positions where we have used  $X_j \oplus Y_j$  in place of  $A_1$ . Thus, for all  $j$ ,  $u\tau_j \in C$ . Hence  $u \notin \langle u\tau_1, \dots, u\tau_n \rangle$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

By additional argument it is possible to deduce the following more general result. *Let  $t$  be a positive integer. Then for all sufficiently large  $i$  the  $KG$ -module  $\Lambda_i \oplus \dots \oplus \Lambda_{i+m-1}$  contains a free  $KG$ -module of rank  $t$ .* We now sketch an alternative proof of this generalisation.

Of the two proofs, the one we have already described is more attractive in the special case of Theorem 2 and gives a better lower bound for  $i$ . But the proof which follows has the advantage of establishing the generalisation directly.

Let  $n = [G:M] - 1$  and write  $B = A_n \oplus \dots \oplus A_{n+m-1}$ . Then Theorem 2 of [1] shows that  $B$  contains a regular  $KG$ -module. Hence, for any finite-dimensional  $KG$ -module  $U$ ,  $B \otimes U$  contains a free  $KG$ -module of rank equal to the dimension of  $U$ : this follows from Lemma 60.2(i) of Dornhoff [4] because free  $KG$ -modules are induced from the identity subgroup.

For each positive integer  $i$  there is a  $KG$ -homomorphism from  $\Lambda_i \otimes A_1$  to  $\Lambda_{i+1}$  in which  $u \otimes v \mapsto [u, v]$  for all  $u \in \Lambda_i, v \in A_1$ . This homomorphism is easily seen to be onto: for example, by use of Exercise 5.4.18 of [10]. Hence for any positive integer  $j$  there exists a  $KG$ -homomorphism from  $\Lambda_i \otimes A_j$  onto  $\Lambda_{i+j}$ . Hence there exists a  $KG$ -homomorphism  $\psi_i$  from  $B \otimes \Lambda_i$  onto  $\Lambda_{n+i} \oplus \dots \oplus \Lambda_{n+m-1+i}$ . Using Witt's formula (Theorem 5.11 of [10]) for the dimension  $\lambda_r$  of  $\Lambda_r$ , the dimension  $d_i$  of the kernel of  $\psi_i$  may be calculated. (In fact all that is needed is an estimate for  $d_i$  based on the estimate

$$\frac{1}{r} d^r - d^{r/2} < \lambda_r < \frac{1}{r} d^r + d^{r/2}$$

for  $\lambda_r$ .) Now, by the remarks above,  $B \otimes \Lambda_i$  contains a free  $KG$ -module of rank  $\lambda_i$ . It may be verified that, for all large enough  $i$ ,  $\lambda_i \geq (d_i + 1)t$ . Hence  $B \otimes \Lambda_i$  contains a free  $KG$ -module of rank  $t$  which has zero intersection with the kernel of  $\psi_i$ . It follows that  $\Lambda_{n+i} \oplus \dots \oplus \Lambda_{n+m-1+i}$  contains a free  $KG$ -module of rank  $t$ .

### 3. The Modules $F_i/F_{i+1}$

In this section we shall prove Theorem 3 by determining the structure of the  $K\Sigma$ -modules  $F_i/F_{i+1}$ : here  $K$  is the field of order  $p$ . What we need is essentially

contained in the literature, but unfortunately not in a very convenient form. Skopin's papers [11] and [12] cover the case where  $p$  is odd. Lazard [9] treats the general case but omits some of the details, especially in the case  $p = 2$ . Koch [7] also treats the general case but seems to be partly in error: see below. We have considered it most satisfactory to run through the arguments again in broad terms, adding some details which are not readily accessible in the above papers.

Let  $\Gamma$  be the power series ring in non-commuting variables  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_d$ . Then, by means of the Magnus embedding,  $F$  may be regarded as a subgroup of the group of units of  $\Gamma$ , where  $y_j = 1 + z_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq d$ ): see §5.5 of [10].

Let  $D$  be the ideal of  $\Gamma$  consisting of those elements with constant term divisible by  $p$ . Then, as proved in [7] (and also, implicitly, in [9]),

$$F_i = F \cap (1 + D^i)$$

for all  $i$ . (The proof of this shows incidentally that

$$F_i = (\gamma_1 F)^{p^{i-1}} (\gamma_2 F)^{p^{i-2}} \dots (\gamma_i F)$$

where  $\gamma_r F$  is the  $r$ th term of the lower central series of  $F$ .) It follows easily that there is a group embedding of  $F_i/F_{i+1}$  into  $D^i/D^{i+1}$  given by

$$f_i F_{i+1} \mapsto (f_i - 1) + D^{i+1}$$

for all  $f_i \in F_i$ .

Using the notation introduced before in which  $A$  refers to the free  $d$ -generator associative  $K$ -algebra, there is an obvious isomorphism

$$D^i/D^{i+1} \cong A_0 \oplus A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_i.$$

Hence for each  $i$  we obtain a group embedding

$$\tilde{\phi}_i: F_i/F_{i+1} \rightarrow A_0 \oplus A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_i.$$

Detailed information concerning the embeddings  $\tilde{\phi}_i$  is more easily stated in terms of the associated group homomorphisms

$$\phi_i: F_i \rightarrow A_0 \oplus A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_i.$$

For the case of  $p$  odd calculations show

$$y_j \phi_1 = x_j \quad (1 \leq j \leq d),$$

$$f_i^p \phi_{i+1} = f_i \phi_i \quad \text{for all } f_i \in F_i,$$

and  $[f_i, f_1] \phi_{i+1} = [f_i \phi_i, f_1 \phi_1]$  for all  $f_i \in F_i, f_1 \in F_1$ .

These are given on p. 139 of [9]. For  $p = 2$  the only difference is that the condition  $f_1^2 \phi_2 = f_1 \phi_1$  for all  $f_1 \in F_1$  must be replaced by

$$f_1^2 \phi_2 = f_1 \phi_1 + (f_1 \phi_1)^2 \quad \text{for all } f_1 \in F_1.$$

These conditions give an inductive description of the homomorphisms  $\phi_i$ . For all  $\alpha \in \Sigma$  an easy induction on  $i$  shows that the homomorphisms

$$\alpha^{-1} \tilde{\phi}_i \alpha: F_i/F_{i+1} \rightarrow A_0 \oplus A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_i$$

satisfy  $\alpha^{-1} \tilde{\phi}_i \alpha = \tilde{\phi}_i$ . Thus the  $\tilde{\phi}_i$  are  $K\Sigma$ -module embeddings.

For the case of  $p$  odd the image of  $\tilde{\phi}_i$  is easily calculated to be  $\Lambda_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \Lambda_i$ , as

remarked by Skopin and Lazard. Thus

$$F_i/F_{i+1} \cong \Lambda_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \Lambda_i$$

as  $K\Sigma$ -module.

For the case of  $p = 2$  the calculation is slightly more complicated. The image of  $\tilde{\phi}_1$  is  $\Lambda_1$ . The image  $E$  of  $\tilde{\phi}_2$  satisfies

$$E + A_2 = A_1 \oplus A_2 \quad \text{and} \quad E \cap A_2 = \Lambda_2,$$

so  $E$  is an extension of  $\Lambda_2$  by  $\Lambda_1$ . For  $i \geq 3$  the image of  $\tilde{\phi}_i$  is  $E \oplus \Lambda_3 \oplus \dots \oplus \Lambda_i$ . Thus  $F_i/F_{i+1}$  is, in all cases, an extension of  $\Lambda_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \Lambda_i$  by  $\Lambda_1$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

Koch's statement in [7] that, for all  $p$ ,  $F_i/F_{i+1}$  is canonically isomorphic to  $\Lambda_1 \oplus \Lambda_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \Lambda_i$  seems to be false because direct calculation shows that when  $p = 2$  and  $d = 3$  the extension  $E$  referred to above does not split.

### References

1. R. M. Bryant and L. G. Kovács, "Tensor products of representations of finite groups", *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 4 (1972), 133–135.
2. R. M. Bryant and L. G. Kovács, "The skeleton of a variety of groups", *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 6 (1972), 357–378.
3. J. Cossey, "Classes of finite soluble groups", Proceedings of the Second International Conference on the Theory of Groups (Canberra, 1973), *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* (Springer-Verlag) No. 372 (1974), 226–237.
4. L. Dornhoff, *Group Representation Theory* (Marcel Dekker, New York, 1971).
5. L. F. Harris, *Varieties and Section Closed Classes of Groups* Ph.D. thesis (Australian National University, 1973).
6. H. Heineken and H. Liebeck, "The occurrence of finite groups in the automorphism group of nilpotent groups of class 2", *Arch. der Math.*, 25 (1974), 8–16.
7. H. Koch, "Über die Faktorgruppen einer absteigenden Zentralreihe", *Math. Nachr.*, 22 (1960) 159–161.
8. H. Laue, H. Lausch and G. R. Pain, "Verlagerung und normale Fittingklassen endlicher Gruppen", *Math. Z.*, 154 (1977), 257–260.
9. M. Lazard, "Sur les groupes nilpotents et les anneaux de Lie", *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* (3), 71 (1954), 101–190.
10. W. Magnus, A. Karrass and D. Solitar, *Combinatorial Group Theory* (Interscience, New York, 1966).
11. A. I. Skopin, "The factor groups of an upper central series of free groups" (Russian), *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 74 (1950), 425–428.
12. A. I. Skopin, "Ideal of relations", *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov.*, 80 (1965), 117–128.

Department of Mathematics,  
University of Manchester,  
Institute of Science and Technology,  
Manchester, M60 1QD,  
England.

Department of Mathematics,  
Institute of Advanced Studies,  
Australian National University,  
Canberra, ACT 2600,  
Australia.