

The Presentation Rank of a Direct Product of Finite Groups

JOHN COSSEY

Australian National University, Canberra, Australia

K. W. GRUENBERG

Queen Mary College, London, England

AND

L. G. KOVÁCS

Australian National University, Canberra, Australia

Communicated by J. E. Roseblade

Received September 26, 1973, revised October 23, 1973

DEDICATED TO PHILIP HALL ON THE OCCASION OF HIS 70TH BIRTHDAY ON
APRIL 11TH, 1974

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $1 \rightarrow R \rightarrow F \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ be a minimal free presentation of the finite group G . This means that the sequence is exact and F is a free group of rank equal to the minimum number of generators $d(G)$ of G . Suppose the corresponding relation module $\bar{R} = R/R'$ is decomposed as

$$\bar{R} = A \oplus P,$$

where P is $\mathbb{Z}G$ -projective and A has no projective direct summand. By a theorem of Swan [7], $P \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong (\mathbb{Q}G)^s$ (the direct sum of s copies of $\mathbb{Q}G$) for some non-negative integer s . It is known that this integer is independent of the particular minimal free presentation and the particular decomposition of \bar{R} (cf. [3, pp. 263-264]). It is therefore an invariant of G that we call the *presentation rank* of G and write $\text{pr}(G) = s$.

It has been shown elsewhere that all 2-generator groups (and therefore all known simple groups) as well as all soluble groups have zero presentation rank ([3, p. 267] and [4], respectively). This might suggest that there do not exist any groups with non-zero presentation rank. Our aim here is to show that this is far from being the case by establishing

THEOREM 1. *Let $G^{(k)}$ denote the direct product of k copies of G . Then $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{pr}(G^{(k)})$ is ∞ or 0 according as G is perfect or not perfect.*

The presentation rank is intimately connected with both $d(G)$ and the minimal number of module generators $d_G(\mathfrak{g})$ of the augmentation ideal \mathfrak{g} of $\mathbb{Z}G$. We have the following result due to Roggenkamp [6].

PROPOSITION 1. $d(G) = d_G(\mathfrak{g}) + \text{pr}(G)$.

We take the opportunity later (Section 2) of giving an alternative proof of this result. Theorem 1 follows from it together with

THEOREM 2. *Let $D = G_1 \times \cdots \times G_k$. Then*

$$d_D(\mathfrak{d}) = \max\{d_{G_i}(\mathfrak{g}_i), i = 1, \dots, k; d(D/D')\}.$$

In particular, if each G_i equals G and G is perfect, then Theorem 2 tells us that $d_D(\mathfrak{d}) = d_G(\mathfrak{g})$, whatever the value of k . However, since a free group of finite rank has only finitely many distinct homomorphisms onto G , it is clear that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(G^{(k)}) = \infty$. Proposition 1 now shows that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{pr}(G^{(k)}) = \infty$ and thus half of Theorem 1 is established.

Suppose G is a non-abelian simple group. Many years ago Philip Hall [5] developed a method for calculating the discontinuities of the function $d(G^{(k)})$. These are then also the discontinuities of $\text{pr}(G^{(k)})$. For example, if G is the alternating group of degree 5, Hall found that $d(G^{(k)}) = 2$ for $k \leq 19$ but $d(G^{(20)}) = 3$. Hence $G^{(20)}$ is the smallest direct power of G with non-zero presentation rank.

We complete now the proof of Theorem 1. Assume G is non-perfect and let $d(G/G') = c$. Again let $G_i = G$ in Theorem 2. Clearly $d(D/D') = kc$ and hence if k is large enough $d_D(\mathfrak{d}) = kc$. But Wiegold [9] has recently proved that $d(D) = kc$ for $k > 2d(G)$. Hence $d(D) = d_D(\mathfrak{d})$ for all sufficiently large k . In view of Proposition 1 this establishes the second half of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2 depends, in its turn, on the following result which allows us to work in a suitable modular group algebra.

PROPOSITION 2. *There exists a prime p dividing $|G|$ such that $d_G(\mathfrak{g}) = d_G(\mathfrak{g}/p\mathfrak{g})$.*

In the terminology of [4], the proposition asserts that \mathfrak{g} is a *Swan module*.

The proof of Theorem 2 involves an argument that leads quickly to a formula expressing $d_G(\mathfrak{g})$ as a function of certain representation theoretic data. To express these we introduce some notation.

For each p dividing $|G|$ and each irreducible $\mathbb{F}_p G$ -module M , let $E = \text{Hom}_G(M, M)$. Then

$$\text{Hom}_G(\mathbb{F}_p G, M) \cong E^{r_M},$$

$$H^1(G, M) \cong E^{s_M},$$

for certain non-negative integers r_M, s_M . Further, let $\zeta_M = 0$ if $M = \mathbb{F}_p$, $\zeta_M = 1$ if $M \neq \mathbb{F}_p$; and if a is a rational number, write $[a]$ for the *smallest* integer $\geq a$.

THEOREM 3. $d_G(g) = \max\{[s_M/r_M + \zeta_M]\}$, where the maximum is calculated over all irreducible $\mathbb{F}_p G$ -modules M for all p dividing $|G|$.

If G is soluble, then as we have already remarked, $\text{pr}(G) = 0$ and so $d_G(g) = d(G)$. In this case, the formula in Theorem 3 is due to Gaschütz [2]. However, the methods of [2] do not seem applicable in the general case.

2. PROOFS

Proof of Proposition 2. Let $m = \max\{d_G(g/pg); \text{all } p \mid |G|\}$. If $m \geq 2$ then, by Swan's criterion [8, Lemma 4.4], g is a Swan module. There remains the case $m = 1$. We show that then G is cyclic. The proposition is obviously true in that case.

Let $\mathbb{Z}_{(G)} = \{a/b \in \mathbb{Q}; (b, |G|) = 1\}$. Then $\mathbb{Z}_{(G)}g$ can be generated by a single element as $\mathbb{Z}_{(G)}G$ -module [8, Lemma 4.3] and so we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{(G)}G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{(G)}g \rightarrow 0. \quad (1)$$

Tensoring with \mathbb{Q} over $\mathbb{Z}_{(G)}$ shows that $A \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong \mathbb{Q}$ and hence A is the trivial $\mathbb{Z}_{(G)}G$ -module $\mathbb{Z}_{(G)}$.

We could now proceed exactly as on p. 268 of [3] (Case 2). However, here is an alternative argument not involving cohomology. The image of A in $\mathbb{Z}_{(G)}G$ must be the $\mathbb{Z}_{(G)}$ -submodule with generator $\sum_{x \in G} x$. Tensoring (1) with $\mathbb{Z}_{(G)}$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{(G)}G$, we obtain

$$A/Ag \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{(G)} \rightarrow g/g^2 \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $g/g^2 \cong G/G'$, this implies

$$\mathbb{Z} \mid G \mid \mathbb{Z} \cong G/G'.$$

It follows that $G' = 1$ and G is cyclic.

Proposition 2 is extremely useful in many contexts. For example it yields a new proof of Roggenkamp's formula (Proposition 1). To see this we argue as follows.

Let d be the minimum number of generators of $\mathbb{Z}_{(G)}\mathfrak{g}$. By Proposition 2, $d = d_G(\mathfrak{g})$. There exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}_{(G)}G)^d \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{(G)}\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow 0 \quad (2)$$

with K containing no free direct summand. (Recall that all $\mathbb{Z}_{(G)}G$ -projectives are free.) We also have the relation sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \bar{R} \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}G)^{d(G)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow 0 \quad (3)$$

(e.g. [3, pp. 34, 37]).

Applying Schanuel's lemma to (2) and to (3) tensored with $\mathbb{Z}_{(G)}$ over \mathbb{Z} , we obtain

$$(\bar{R} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(G)}) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_{(G)}G)^d \cong K \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_{(G)}G)^{d(G)}. \quad (4)$$

Let $\bar{R} = A \oplus P$, where $P \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is $\mathbb{Q}G$ -free of rank $\text{pr}(G)$. By cancellation, (4) yields $A \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(G)} \cong K$ and $\text{pr}(G) + d = d(G)$, as required.

We turn now to the *proofs of Theorems 2 and 3*. In view of Proposition 2, we have only to establish the mod- p version of both theorems. Moreover, Theorem 2 has clearly only to be proved when $k = 2$, and for Theorem 3 we recall that $r_F = 1$ and $H^1(G, \mathbb{F}_p) \cong G/G'G^p$.

Explicitly, therefore, we must prove the following results.

THEOREM 2p. *If $D = G \times H$, then*

$$d_D(\mathfrak{d}/p\mathfrak{d}) = \max\{d_G(\mathfrak{g}/p\mathfrak{g}), d_H(\mathfrak{h}/p\mathfrak{h}), d(D/D'D^p)\}.$$

THEOREM 3p. $d_G(\mathfrak{g}/p\mathfrak{g}) = \max\{d(G/G'G^p), [s_M/r_M + 1]\}$, where M varies over all non-trivial irreducible \mathbb{F}_pG -modules.

We begin with the simple

LEMMA 1. *Let Λ be a semi-simple algebra and*

$$W = M_1^{k_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_r^{k_r},$$

where M_1, \dots, M_r are distinct irreducible Λ -modules. If M_i occurs r_i times in Λ , then

$$d_\Lambda(W) = \max\{[k_i/r_i], i = 1, \dots, r\}.$$

Proof. We assert first that

$$d_A(W) = \max\{d_A(M_i^{k_i}), i = 1, \dots, r\}.$$

For if the right side is n and the left side m , then obviously $m \geq n$. But from given projections $A^n \rightarrow M_i^{k_i}$ we obtain, because of the semi-simplicity, a projection $A^n \rightarrow W$. Hence $m \leq n$.

Now write $M = M_i$, $k = k_i$, $r = r_i$ and $k = qr + s$, with $0 \leq s < r$. If $d_A(M^k) = n$, then clearly $n \leq q$ or $q + 1$ according as $s = 0$ or $s \neq 0$. On the other hand, an epimorphism $A^n \rightarrow M^k$ yields, by semi-simplicity, that $rn \geq k$. Hence $n = [k/r]$.

Let J be the Jacobson radical of $\mathbb{F}_p G$ and U the indecomposable projective $\mathbb{F}_p G$ -module with $U/UJ \cong \mathbb{F}_p$. If $\mathbb{F}_p G = U \oplus W$, then $\mathbb{F}_p \mathfrak{g} (= \mathfrak{g}/p\mathfrak{g}) = UJ \oplus W$ and $W\mathfrak{g} = W$. Therefore

$$UJ/UJ\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathbb{F}_p \mathfrak{g}/\mathbb{F}_p \mathfrak{g}^2 \cong G/G'G^p$$

and the semi-simple module $\mathbb{F}_p \mathfrak{g}/\mathbb{F}_p \mathfrak{g}J$ breaks up as a direct sum

$$\mathbb{F}_p \mathfrak{g}/\mathbb{F}_p \mathfrak{g}J \cong G/G'G^p \oplus U(G) \oplus W/WJ,$$

where we have written

$$U(G) = UJ\mathfrak{g}/UJ^2.$$

Clearly, $d_G(\mathbb{F}_p \mathfrak{g}) = d_G(\mathbb{F}_p \mathfrak{g}/\mathbb{F}_p \mathfrak{g}J)$. Lemma 1 now yields

$$d_G(\mathbb{F}_p \mathfrak{g}) = \max\{d(G/G'G^p), 1 + d_G(U(G))\}. \quad (5)$$

At this point the proofs of Theorems 2p and 3p diverge. The former is an immediate consequence of (5) and

LEMMA 2. $U(D) \cong U(G) \oplus U(H)$, where H acts trivially on $U(G)$ and G acts trivially on $U(H)$.

For then, using also Lemma 1, $d_D(U(D))$ is the maximum of $d_G(U(G))$ and $d_H(U(H))$ and Theorem 2p is finished. We postpone the proof of Lemma 2 in order first to conclude Theorem 3p.

In view of (5), we need to show that

$$d_G(U(G)) = \max\{[s_M/r_M]\};$$

and in view of Lemma 1, this amounts to verifying that s_M is precisely the number of occurrences of M in UJ/UJ^2 . But this is immediate from the definition of $H^1(G, -)$ and the use of the resolution $0 \rightarrow UJ \rightarrow U \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow 0$.

Proof of Lemma 2. We begin with some facts about graded modules.¹ Let A be a finite dimensional algebra over a field K and U an A -module with filtration $U = U_0 \geq U_1 \geq \dots$. We write $\bar{U}_i = U_i/U_{i+1}$ so that the corresponding graded module is $\text{gr}(U) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \bar{U}_i$. Suppose B is a second finite dimensional algebra over K and V is a B -module with filtration $V = V_0 \geq V_1 \geq \dots$. Then $W = U \otimes_K V$ is a module over $A \otimes B$ and has the "product filtration" $W = W_0 \geq W_1 \geq \dots$, where $W_n = \sum_{i+j=n} U_i \otimes V_j$. The obvious module homomorphism

$$\text{gr}(U) \otimes \text{gr}(V) \rightarrow \text{gr}(U \otimes V) \quad (6)$$

is here an isomorphism of graded $A \otimes B$ -modules (e.g. [1, Chapter 3, p. 106]).

Assume next that K is a finite field and that A, B are augmented K -algebras. Let J_A, J_B denote the Jacobson radicals of A, B , respectively. Then $A/J_A, B/J_B$ are separable and so $A/J_A \otimes B/J_B$ is semi-simple. Consequently, in the exact sequence

$$(J_A \otimes B) \oplus (A \otimes J_B) \xrightarrow{\theta} A \otimes B \rightarrow A/J_A \otimes B/J_B \rightarrow 0,$$

we have $\text{Image}(\theta) \geq J_{A \otimes B}$, the Jacobson radical of $A \otimes B$. But $\text{Image}(\theta)$ is nilpotent and hence

$$J_{A \otimes B} = \text{Image}(\theta). \quad (7)$$

Suppose U is the indecomposable projective A -module with $U/UJ_A \cong K$ and similarly V for B . The kernel of $\varphi: U \otimes V \rightarrow K \otimes K = K$ is precisely the image of $(UJ_A \otimes V) \oplus (U \otimes VJ_B)$ and by (7)

$$\text{Ker}(\varphi) = (U \otimes V) J_{A \otimes B}. \quad (8)$$

Hence $U \otimes V$ is the indecomposable projective $A \otimes B$ -module with image K .

We consider the filtrations $(UJ_A^n), (VJ_B^n)$ in U, V , respectively. Then (8) shows that the filtration $((U \otimes V) J_{A \otimes B}^n)$ is exactly the product filtration. The isomorphism (6) in this context and applied only in degree 1 yields

$$(U \otimes V) J_{A \otimes B} / (U \otimes V) J_{A \otimes B}^2 \cong UJ_A/UJ_A^2 \oplus VJ_B/VJ_B^2, \quad (9)$$

where B operates via K on the first summand on the right hand side and similarly A on the second.

Now let $K = \mathbb{F}_p, A = \mathbb{F}_p G, B = \mathbb{F}_p H$ and apply (9) to the formula (9). The result is precisely the formula of Lemma 2.

¹ We are grateful to John Moore for drawing these to our attention. Their use has simplified our original argument.

REFERENCES

1. N. BOURBAKI, "Algèbre Commutative," Hermann, Paris, 1961.
2. W. GASCHÜTZ, Die Eulersche Funktion endlicher auflösbarer Gruppen, *Ill. J. Math.* **3** (1959), 469–476.
3. K. W. GRUENBERG, "Cohomological Topics in Group Theory," Springer lecture notes, Vol. 143, Springer, New York/Berlin, 1970.
4. K. W. GRUENBERG, Über die Relationenmoduln einer endlichen Gruppe, *Math. Z.* **118** (1970), 30–33.
5. P. HALL, The Eulerian functions of a group, *Quart. J. Math.* **7** (1936), 134–151.
6. K. W. ROGGENKAMP, Relationmodules of finite groups and related topics, *Algebra i Logika* **12** (1973), 351–369.
7. R. G. SWAN, Induced representations and projective modules, *Ann. Math.* **71** (1960), 552–578.
8. R. G. SWAN, Minimal resolutions for finite groups, *Topology* **4** (1965), 193–208.
9. J. WIEGOLD, Growth sequences of finite groups. II, *J. Australian Math. Soc.* **17** (1974).