

TENSOR PRODUCTS OF REPRESENTATIONS OF FINITE GROUPS

R. M. BRYANT AND L. G. KOVÁCS

1. Throughout this note K will be a field and G a finite group. By a KG -module we shall mean a finite-dimensional right module for the group algebra KG . A well-known theorem states that if V is a KG -module which is faithful for G then each irreducible KG -module is a composition factor of some tensor power $V^{(r)}$ of V . (See Burnside [2; §226], Fong and Gaschütz [3], Steinberg [5] and Brauer [1; Theorem 1* and Remarks 4, 5].) Here we shall give an extremely simple proof of the somewhat stronger fact: *each principal indecomposable KG -module is isomorphic to a direct summand of some tensor power of V .* Our main result is

THEOREM 1. *If, for each $g \in G \setminus 1$, V_g is a KG -module on which g has non-scalar action, then the regular KG -module is isomorphic to a direct summand of*

$$\bigotimes_{g \in G \setminus 1} V_g.$$

Here, and below, we have the convention that any empty tensor product of KG -modules is equal to the trivial one-dimensional KG -module T . By the regular KG -module we mean KG itself, regarded as a KG -module; and an element g of G is said to have scalar action on a KG -module V if $V(k-g) = 0$ for some $k \in K$.

If V is a KG -module, the elements of G which have scalar action on $T \oplus V$ are precisely those which act trivially on V . Thus an immediate consequence of Theorem 1 is

COROLLARY. *If, for each $g \in G \setminus 1$, V_g is a KG -module on which g acts non-trivially, then the regular KG -module is isomorphic to a direct summand of*

$$\bigotimes_{g \in G \setminus 1} (T \oplus V_g) \cong \bigoplus_{S \subseteq G \setminus 1} \left(\bigotimes_{g \in S} V_g \right).$$

If G has order n and V is faithful for G then it follows from this that the regular KG -module is isomorphic to a direct summand of $(T \oplus V)^{(n-1)}$, and so, by the Krull-Schmidt Theorem, each principal indecomposable KG -module is isomorphic to a direct summand of some $V^{(r)}$. In fact we shall prove a refinement of the special case of the corollary.

THEOREM 2. *Let V be a KG -module which is faithful for G and let M be the cyclic subgroup of G consisting of those elements which have scalar action on V . If G has order n , M has order m , and $l \geq (n/m) - 1$, then the regular KG -module is isomorphic to a direct summand of*

$$V^{(l)} \oplus V^{(l+1)} \oplus \dots \oplus V^{(l+m-1)}.$$

Received 28 September, 1971.

[BULL. LONDON MATH. SOC., 4 (1972), 133-135]

Under the hypotheses of Theorem 2, if $V^{(s)}, \dots, V^{(s+m-1)}$ is any sequence of m consecutive powers of V then the restrictions of the $V^{(s+i)}$ to M are homogeneous modules associated with the m distinct irreducible KM -modules. Hence the sets of composition factors of the $V^{(s+i)}$ are pairwise disjoint, and a principal indecomposable KG -module can be a direct summand of at most one of the $V^{(s+i)}$. It follows also that no direct sum of fewer than m consecutive powers of V can contain the regular KG -module, or even involve every irreducible KG -module. Brauer [1] showed that every irreducible KG -module is a composition factor of

$$W = T \oplus V \oplus \dots \oplus V^{(c-1)}$$

where c is the cardinality of the set of values of the (Brauer) character of V . However, although $c \geq m$, the regular KG -module is not necessarily a direct summand of W : for example, if G is the quaternion group of order 8 and V its 2-dimensional faithful irreducible module over the complex field, then $c = 3$ and so W has dimension only 7.

It is perhaps of interest to note that Theorem 1 may be put in a rather different form. Let G have order n and, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, suppose that V_i is a KG -module and M_i the subgroup of G consisting of those elements which have scalar action on V_i . By the well-known theorem of Hall [4], the elements of $G \setminus 1$ form a system of distinct representatives for the sets $G \setminus M_i$ if and only if, for each r , $1 \leq r \leq n-1$, the union of any r of the $G \setminus M_i$ has cardinality at least r . Thus the regular KG -module is isomorphic to a direct summand of

$$\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n-1} V_i$$

if, for each r , $1 \leq r \leq n-1$, the intersection of any r of the M_i has order at most $n-r$.

2. If W is a KG -module and A is a subset of W we shall write $\langle A \rangle$ for the K -subspace of W spanned by A . We need some simple facts which are summarized in the following lemma.

LEMMA. (i) *The element g of G has non-scalar action on the KG -module W if and only if $w \notin \langle wg \rangle$ for some $w \in W$.* (ii) *The regular KG -module is isomorphic to a direct summand of the KG -module W if and only if $w \notin \langle w(G \setminus 1) \rangle$ for some $w \in W$.* (iii) *If U and V are KG -modules, R and S are subsets of $G \setminus 1$, $u \in U$ is such that $u \notin \langle uR \rangle$ and $v \in V$ is such that $v \notin \langle vS \rangle$, then $u \otimes v \in U \otimes V$ satisfies*

$$u \otimes v \notin \langle (u \otimes v)(R \cup S) \rangle.$$

Proof. (i) is easy. To prove (ii) we use the fact that the regular KG -module is injective. Thus it is isomorphic to a direct summand of W if and only if it is isomorphic to a submodule of W . This holds if and only if for some $w \in W$ the set wG is linearly independent over K . But $w \notin \langle w(G \setminus 1) \rangle$ if and only if

$$wg \notin \langle w(G \setminus 1)g \rangle = \langle w(G \setminus g) \rangle$$

for all $g \in G$.

Finally we prove (iii). Since $u \notin \langle uR \rangle$, U has a K -space endomorphism α satisfying $u\alpha = u$ and $uR\alpha = 0$. Similarly V has a K -endomorphism β satisfying $v\beta = v$ and $vS\beta = 0$. If $g \in R \cup S$ then

$$(u \otimes v)g(\alpha \otimes \beta) = u\alpha g \otimes v\beta = 0,$$

since $u\alpha = 0$ or $v\beta = 0$. Thus

$$\langle (u \otimes v)(R \cup S) \rangle (\alpha \otimes \beta) = 0.$$

But

$$(u \otimes v)(\alpha \otimes \beta) = u \otimes v \neq 0,$$

and (iii) follows.

To prove Theorem 1, we take, using (i), for each $g \in G \setminus 1$ an element v_g of V_g such that $v_g \notin \langle v_g g \rangle$. Thus, by repeated application of (iii),

$$w = \bigotimes_{g \in G \setminus 1} v_g \in \bigotimes_{g \in G \setminus 1} V_g$$

satisfies $w \notin \langle w(G \setminus 1) \rangle$; and the theorem follows by (ii).

Under the hypotheses of Theorem 2, we have already observed that for any s the restriction of

$$U = V^{(s)} \oplus V^{(s+1)} \oplus \dots \oplus V^{(s+m-1)}$$

to M contains every irreducible KM -module, and hence a regular KM -module. Thus, by (ii), there is $u \in U$ such that $u \notin \langle u(M \setminus 1) \rangle$. Let D be a set of representatives for the non-trivial cosets of M in G . Then, by (i), for each $d \in D$ there is $v_d \in V$ such that $v_d \notin \langle v_d d \rangle$; whence also $v_d \notin \langle v_d dM \rangle$. Since

$$G \setminus 1 = (M \setminus 1) \cup \left(\bigcup_{d \in D} dM \right),$$

repeated application of (iii) shows that

$$w = u \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{d \in D} v_d \right) \in U \otimes V^{((n/m)-1)}$$

satisfies $w \notin \langle w(G \setminus 1) \rangle$; and the theorem follows by (ii).

References

1. R. Brauer, "A note on theorems of Burnside and Blichfeldt", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 15 (1964), 31-34.
2. W. Burnside, *Theory of groups of finite order* (2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, 1911, Dover Publ., 1955).
3. P. Fong and W. Gaschütz, "A note on the modular representations of solvable groups", *J. reine angew. Math.*, 208 (1961), 73-78.
4. P. Hall, "On representatives of subsets", *J. London Math. Soc.*, 10 (1935), 26-30.
5. R. Steinberg, "Complete sets of representations of algebras", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 13 (1962), 746-747.

Australian National University,
Canberra.