

On Finite Soluble Groups

L. G. Kovács

Received June 22, 1967

The purpose of this note is to point out two ways in which restrictions on the structure of Sylow subgroups imply restrictions on the structure of a finite group. The first is yet another p -length theorem (cf. HALL and HIGMAN [3]):

Theorem 1. *If a Sylow p -subgroup of a finite p -soluble group can be generated by d elements, then the p -length of G is at most d .*

The second is an easy, but apparently unnoticed, consequence of Satz 4 of GASCHÜTZ [2]:

Theorem 2. *If each Sylow subgroup of a finite soluble group G can be generated by d elements, then G can be generated by $d+1$ elements.*

This is best possible in the sense that to each positive value of d one can easily construct such groups G (in fact, abelian-by-cyclic groups) which cannot be generated by d elements. A suitable rearrangement of the steps of GASCHÜTZ [2] can give further results such as the following: if every abelian-by-cyclic factor group of G can be generated by k elements and $k \geq \frac{1}{2}(d+1)$, then G can be generated by k elements.

The author is indebted to Dr. M. F. NEWMAN for the discussions which led to this paper.

It is convenient to put the first steps of the proof of Theorem 1 separately:

Lemma 1. *Let G be any finite group, H a normal subgroup of G contained in the Frattini subgroup $\Phi(G)$ of G , A/H a normal p' -subgroup of G/H , and H_p the Sylow p -subgroup of H . Then A is the direct product $H_p \times B$ of H_p and a normal p' -subgroup B of G .*

Proof. Since $\Phi(G)$ is nilpotent ([4], IV.2.g), H_p is normal in G ; as H_p has p' -index in A , the Schur-Zassenhaus Theorem (VII.2.c in [4]) guarantees that it has a complement B in A , and that all such complements are conjugate in A . Thus if $\mathcal{N}_G(B)$ denotes the normalizer of B in G , then

$$G = A \mathcal{N}_G(B) = H_p B \mathcal{N}_G(B) = H_p \mathcal{N}_G(B) = \mathcal{N}_G(B)$$

on account of the Frattini argument (IV.2.e in [4]) and the fact that H_p consists of non-generators of G ([4], p.31). Hence B is normal in G ; it is obviously a p' -group; and $A = H_p \times B$.

Corollary 1. *If N is the largest normal p' -subgroup of G , then NH/H is the largest normal p' -subgroup of G/H .*

For, if A/H is the largest normal p' -subgroup of G/H , then $A \geq NH$ and $N \geq B$, so $A = NH$.

Corollary 2. *If $R/N = \Phi(G/N)$, then G/R has no non-trivial normal p' -subgroup. If G/R is p -soluble of p -length l , so is G .*

The first statement is obtained by applying Corollary 1 to G/N in place of G and R/N in place of H ; the place of N is taken by N/N . For the second statement, note that R/N is nilpotent and has no normal p' -subgroup, so that it is a p -group. (Corollary 2 is a slightly stronger version of the Corollary to Lemma 1.2.5 of HALL and HIGMAN [3].)

Lemma 2. *Let G be a finite group with a Sylow p -subgroup P which can be generated by d elements. If M is a non-trivial, complemented, normal p -subgroup of G , then a Sylow p -subgroup of G/M can be generated by $d-1$ elements.*

Proof. Let K be a complement of M in G ; then $L = P \cap K$ is a complement of M in P . The mutual commutator subgroup $[P, M]$ is properly contained in M and is normal in P , so

$$P/[P, M] = M/[P, M] \times L[P, M]/[P, M]$$

where $P/[P, M]$ can be generated by d elements, $M/[P, M]$ is non-trivial, and $L[P, M]/[P, M] \cong L \cong P/M$. This implies that P/M , which is a Sylow p -subgroup of G/M , can be generated by $d-1$ elements.

Proof of Theorem 1. (The notation is maintained; the assumptions of Theorem 1 are now taken up.) If $d=0$, then G is a p' -group, that is, of p -length 0. Suppose $d>0$ and proceed by induction on d . Since a Sylow p -subgroup of G/R is a homomorphic image of one of G , it can be generated by d elements; on the other hand, Corollary 2 shows that the p -lengths of G and G/R are equal. Thus it can and will be assumed, without loss of generality, that $R=1$: that is, G has no non-trivial normal p' -subgroup and $\Phi(G)=1$. The Fitting subgroup F of G is then just the greatest normal p -subgroup of G , and it is complemented in G (cf. GASCHÜTZ [1]). Thus Lemma 2 (applied with $M=F$) and the induction hypothesis give that G/F has p -length at most $d-1$; hence the p -length of G is at most d .

Proof of Theorem 2. It is immediate from Satz 4 of GASCHÜTZ [2] that if G could not be generated by $d+1$ elements then, for at least one prime p , a chief series of G would have at least $d+1$ complemented p -factors. Therefore it is sufficient to prove that no chief series of G can have more than d complemented p -factors. This is obvious if $d=0$; assume $d>0$ and proceed by induction. Let $1=G_0 < \dots < G_n=G$ be a chief series of G ; if this has any complemented p -factors, let G_{i+1}/G_i be the one with smallest i . By Lemma 2 (applied

to G/G_i with $M=G_{i+1}/G_i$) and the induction hypothesis, the chief series $1 < G_{i+1}/G_i < \dots < G_n/G_i$ of G/G_i has at most $d-1$ complemented p -factors; so $G_0 < \dots < G_n$ has at most d .

References

1. GASCHÜTZ, W.: Über die Φ -Untergruppe endlicher Gruppen. Math. Z. **58**, 160—170 (1953).
2. — Die Eulersche Funktion endlicher auflösbarer Gruppen. Illinois J. Math. **3**, 469—476 (1959).
3. HALL, P., and G. HIGMAN: On the p -length of p -soluble groups and reduction theorems for BURNSIDE's problem. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **6**, 1—42 (1956).
4. SCHENKMAN, E.: Group theory. Princeton: Van Nostrand 1965.

*Department of Mathematics, Australian National University
Canberra, A.C.T. (Australia)*